

FORM OF REVIEW

Geomatics, Landmanagement and Landscape

The title of reviewed article:

.....

Please, express your opinion, writing down sign ✕ in proper square:

1. General value of work

- a) brings in new values
- b) constitutes valuable contribution
- c) confirms existing opinions
- d) does not answer profile *Geomatics, Landmanagement and Landscape*

2. Methods

- a) suitable
- b) improperly described
- c) unsuitable

3. Experimental Material

- a) sufficient
- b) insufficient

4. Statistical study

- a) superfluous
- b) sufficient
- c) acceptable after taking into consideration advisers remarks
- d) not conducted, though necessary, or incorrect in foundation

5. Illustrative material

- a) quantity and quality suitable
- b) the quality of illustration of No. unsuitable
- c) requires supplement
- d) unsuitable

6. Schedules and drawings

- a) suitable
- b) require improvements
- c) unsuitable or insufficient

7. Interpretation of results

- a) proper
- b) acceptable after taking into consideration advisers remarks
- c) inappropriate

8. Quoted literature

- a) given correctly
- b) given against the advices for authors
- c) incomplete, omitted important positions
- d) wrongly chosen

9. Applied units of measures

- a) proper (in SI system)
- b) inappropriate

10. Summary

- a) correct
- b) does not present the essence of work
- c) requires thorough changes

11. Opinion of work with respect to language

- a) correct
- b) requires small corrections
- c) requires thorough changes

12. General opinion of work and hints in matter of publication in *Geomatics, Landmanagement and Landscape*

- a) very good - accept
- b) good – accept after taking into consideration advisers remarks
- c) acceptable after thorough alteration
- d) poor - should not be published in *Geomatics, Landmanagement and Landscape*

